arXiv:1407.6720v1 [physics.atom-ph] 23 Jul 2014

The use of Slater-type spinor orbitals in algebraic solution of two-center Dirac equation

A. Bağcı* and P. E. Hoggan
Institute Pascal, UMR 6602 CNRS, University Blaise Pascal,
24 avenue des Landais BP 80026, 63177 Aubiere Cedex, France

The use of Slater-type spinor orbitals in algebraic solution of the Dirac equation is investigated. The one- and two-center integrals constitute the matrix elements arising in generalized eigenvalue equation for one-electron atoms and molecules are evaluated over Slater-type spinor orbitals via ellipsoidal coordinates. These integrals are calculated through numerical global-adaptive method with Gauss-Kronrod numerical integration extension. The calculations are performed for electronic structure of ground and excited states of one-electron atoms and diatomic molecules. The screening constants are allowed to be variationally optimum values for given nuclear separation. The obtained results are compaired with the results those found in the literature. The procedures discussed in this work are capable of yielding highly accurate relativistic two-center one-electron integrals for all ranges of orbital parameters. Besides provides an efficient way to overcome the problems that arise in relativistic calculations.

Keywords: Dirac equation, algebraic approximation, Slater-type spinor orbitals, numerical integration.
PACS numbers: ... .

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular wave-functions are generally obtained from a linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO-MO) [1] employed and studied for many years with the atomic orbitals represented by analytical basis functions. These studies are performed in two groups of basis function: Gaussian-type functions and exponential-type functions. Gaussian-type functions possess a great advantage in the simplicity of evaluation of molecular integrals and are generally preferred in large scale calculations. Many computer programs based on Gaussian-type functions have been developed to be used in the fields of applied science. However, Gaussian-type functions are unable to represent the correct behavior of the wave-function at the nuclei and at large distances from it. On the other hand, exponential-type functions are better suited then Gaussian-type functions to represent the electronic wave-function in both cases since they satisfy Kato's conditions [2, 3].
Two aspects of the required nonrelativistic electronic structure calculations by empliying LACO-MO method are therefore of fundamental importance. Firstly, the choice of basis function and secondly integral evaluation to obtain the matrix elements in the chosen basis function must be examined.

In relativistic electronic structure theory the situation is much more complicated. Although the methods have been algebraically described for many-electron systems [4–7] still it is contain significant problems.
First of all, the criteria for choosing basis functions should be reconsidered. The numerical convergence of results to nonrelativistic limit by the use of Gaussian-type orbitals is slow or sometimes even does not lead to the right results as they do not satisfy Kato's conditions. Also, they are limited by quantum electrodynamics corrections [8]. These ensure maintaining the suitability of exponential-type orbitals in theoretical investigations even they has been limited due to difficulties in efficient calculations of multicenter integrals. On the other hand, finite-size nuclear model only requires the use of Gaussian-type orbitals [55] which should be emphasized. The analytcal expressions by the solution of Dirac equation [10],

$$\hat{H}_D \Psi = E\Psi \tag{1}$$

here,

$$\hat{H}_D = c(\vec{\alpha}.\hat{\vec{p}}) + mc^2\beta + V(r) \tag{2}$$

is one-electron Dirac operator,

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^L \\ \psi^S \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

is two-component form of electron wave-function and

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

with, c is speed of light, $\vec{\sigma}$ are Pauli spin matrices, $\hat{\vec{p}}$ is momentum operator, m is the rest mass of electron, I is $2X2$ unit matrix, $V(r)$ is interaction potential and ψ^L, ψ^S are represent large- and small-components of electron spinor wave-function respectively, are available only for limited interactions with strong constraint on potential [11, 12]. An approximate solution of Dirac equation gives finite-discrete energy spectrum known as positive- and negative-energy spectrum which are represent electronic, positronic energy states. The accurate calculation of whole spectrum is quite sensitive to mathematical

* albagci@univ-bpclermont.fr

completeness. It is require the choice of basis functions depending on kinetic balance condition [13, 14];

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\psi^S=\frac{1}{2mc}(\vec{\alpha}.\hat{\vec{p}})\psi^L \tag{5}$$

Unfortunately, expectation from obtained positive-energy eigenvalues by the solution of Dirac equation in the nonrelativistic limit to converge to the eigenvalues obtained by the solution of Schrödinger equation may not comes true when finite-basis approximation is used. The kinetic balance condition guarantees the implementation of the variation principle only if the basis-set used in Dirac equation matches with the basis-set used in Schrödinger equation in the nonrelativistic limit. The variational instability, so called variational collapse [15] or finite basis-set disease [16], may arise throughout algebraic solution of the one-electron Dirac equation to find the lowest eigenvalues of positive-energy states due to the Dirac-Hamiltonian is unbounded from below. The collapse of the obtained positive-energy eigenvalues below the lowest positive-energy ground state have been serious block in relativistic electronic structure calculations and it have been studied quite intensively by many authors since it is comprehended. Numerous approaches were proposed in these studies. They can be divided into two main groups; those that based to obtain Dirac-like equation where direct variational (Rayleigh−Ritz) procedure can be applicable and those that based on definition new variational procedure provides rigorous upper and lower bounds to positive- and negative-energy spectrum, respectively. The studies in first group were possible by a few ways; applying the variation method to the Dirac equation based on the modified Dirac operator [17–20], transformation of the Dirac-Hamiltonian to a block diagonal form through a Foldy−Wouthuysen transformation method [21–24], applicaiton of partitioning technique to the Dirac equation [25–27], elimination of the small-components in order to get effective Hamiltonian only for large-components [28–33] and using appropriate finite basis-set [7, 28, 34–40, 55] where the positive-energy eigenvalues are obtained bounded from below. The studies in second group were posssible by using particular variational procedures, Lehmann-Maehley and Minimax methods, [41–43] to solution of one-electron Dirac equation. Notice that detailed investigations of these procedures were made in [44, 45].

The use of central Coulomb potential in algebraic solution of one-electron Dirac equation brings another difficulty which is show up when relativistic angular momentum quantum numbers (κ) are positive. Here, the obtained positive-energy eigenvalue may lie in forbidden energy gap where, between lowest true positive-energy ground state and the negative-energy spectrum threshold $-2mc^2$. Solution of this problem analyzed through defining basis-set approximation in [34, 46–49] and its origin investigated in [50, 51]. Note that, the discussions on relativistic electronic structure calculations comprehensively can be found in [52–54] published, recently.

The aim of this paper is to show that using Slater-type spinor orbitals, which are obtained here analogously to L-spinors [55, 56] the Dirac equation can be solved via Rayleigh−Ritz method for extended basis-sets approximation without any modification on Dirac operator. The relations for relativistic one-electron molecular integrals can be obtained with compact form and calculated accurately for noninteger values of quantum numbers via molecular auxiliary functions [57] in ellipsoidal coordinates and suggested numerical integration method in [58].

The calculations are performed for electronic structure of ground and excited state of one-electron atoms and diatomic molecules with single-zeta basis-set approximation for each sign κ which is determine the symmetry of orbitals to be included in LCAO. The screening constants are allowed to be variationally optimum values.

This paper is proving that the nature of Dirac equation is compatible with Rayleigh−Ritz method for extended basis sets approximation if Slater-type spinor orbitals is used and matrix representation of Dirac equation can be solved via procedure given for solution generalized eigenvalue equation without encountering any troubles.

II. SLATER-TYPE SPINOR ORBITALS

The Slater-type spinor orbitals (STSOs) which can be consider as relativistic analogues of Slater-type functions (STFs) have the functional form of the most nearly nodeless L-spinors characterized by minimum value of radial quantum numbers n_r [59], where ($n_r=n-|\kappa|$) [60] and n principal quantum number.

The STSOs used in this paper determined as,

$$\chi^{\beta}_{\gamma\kappa\mu}(\zeta,\vec{r})=\left\{A^{\beta}_{\kappa}r^{\gamma}+\zeta B^{\beta}_{\kappa}r^{\gamma+1}\right\}e^{-\zeta r}\Omega^{\beta}_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta) \tag{6}$$

where, $\kappa=\mp1,\mp2,\mp3,...$, $|\kappa|+\frac{1}{2}\leq\mu\leq|\kappa|-\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta=\mp1$ are represent large- and small-components of STSOs, respectively. The $\Omega^{\beta}_{\kappa\mu}$ are the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ spinor spherical harmonics,

$$\Omega^{\beta}_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta)=\sum_{\sigma=\frac{\beta}{2}}C^{l\frac{1}{2}j}_{\mu-\sigma\sigma\mu}Y_{l\mu-\sigma}(\theta,\vartheta)\,\phi_{\sigma}, \tag{7}$$

$$\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

here, the quantities C are Clebsch-Gordon coefficients. Using the explicit form [60, 61] of the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients the spinor spherical harmonics can be obtained by following formula,

$$\Omega^{\beta}_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta\kappa+1}}\times\begin{bmatrix}sgn(-\beta\kappa)\sqrt{(\beta\kappa)+1/2-\mu}Y_{l_{\beta}\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\vartheta)\\ \sqrt{(\beta\kappa)+1/2+\mu}Y_{l_{\beta}\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\vartheta)\end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

Y_{lm} are the complex spherical harmonics [62] with,

$$l_\beta = \begin{cases} \beta\kappa & \beta\kappa > 0 \\ -\beta\kappa - 1 & \beta\kappa < 0, \end{cases}$$

$Y^*_{lm} = Y_{l-m}$ [63]. Notice that the spinor spherical harmonics are satisfy the orthogonality relations,

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \Omega^\beta_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta)\,\Omega^{\beta'}_{\kappa'\mu'}(\theta,\vartheta)\,d\Omega = \delta_{\beta\beta'}\delta_{\kappa\kappa'}\delta_{\mu\mu'}, \quad (10)$$

$d\Omega = sin\theta d\theta d\vartheta$ and the operator $\hat{K}_{\theta\vartheta}$,

$$\hat{K}_{\theta\vartheta} \equiv (\hat{\sigma}.\hat{r}) = \begin{bmatrix} Cos\theta & Sin\theta e^{-i\vartheta} \\ Sin\theta e^{i\vartheta} & -Cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

changes their parity since it is odd of parity,

$$\hat{K}_{\theta\vartheta}\Omega^\beta_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta) = -\Omega^{-\beta}_{\kappa\mu}(\theta,\vartheta). \quad (12)$$

The coefficients A^β_κ, B^β_κ included in the radial part of STSOs are defined as follows,

$$A^\beta_\kappa = \left(\frac{\beta\kappa}{2\gamma}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \beta\kappa + \frac{\beta\kappa}{\gamma} - \beta N^\gamma_\kappa\right)\delta_{|\kappa|\kappa}, \quad (13)$$

$$B^\beta_\kappa = -\beta\left(\frac{N^\gamma_\kappa - \kappa}{2\gamma + 1}\right), \quad (14)$$

where,

$$N^\gamma_\kappa = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + (2\gamma+1)\,\delta_{|\kappa|\kappa}} \quad (15)$$

and, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$. It should be emphasized that the STSOs have the same form to as S-spinors [59] if

$$\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{Z^2}{c^2}} \quad (16)$$

with nuclear charge Z, except they are not independent for a large and small components and they satisfy the following system of differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa}(\zeta,r) = -\beta\frac{\kappa}{r}\chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa}(\zeta,r) + \left(\frac{\beta N^\gamma_\kappa - \gamma - \delta_{|\kappa|\kappa}}{r} + \zeta\right)\chi^{-\beta}_{\gamma\kappa}(\zeta,r) \quad (17)$$

III. DEFINITION AND BASIC FORMULAS

The following linear combinations of molecular orbitals in terms of STSOs are used through the calculation of electronic energies of one-electron homo-nuclear and hetero-nuclear diatomic molecules:

$$u^\beta_i = \sum_q \left[\chi^\beta_q(\zeta,\vec{r}_a) + I\chi^\beta_q(\zeta,\vec{r}_b)\right]C^\beta_{qi} \quad (18)$$

$$u^\beta_i = \sum_q \left[\chi^\beta_q(\zeta_a,\vec{r}_a)\,C^{\beta a}_{qi} + I\chi^\beta_q(\zeta_b,\vec{r}_b)\,C^{\beta b}_{qi}\right] \quad (19)$$

where $q = \kappa\,(-N_q \le \kappa \le N_q)$, $i = |\kappa|\,(1 \le |\kappa| \le 2N_q)$, $I = \mp 1$ denote the gerade, ungerade states, respectively and N_q is the upper limit of summation. The orbital parameters are chosen depending on κ as follows,

$$\zeta_a = \zeta_b = \begin{cases} \zeta & \kappa < 0 \\ \zeta' & \kappa > 0. \end{cases}$$

The calculations are performed to be obtained the energies and linear combination coefficients by solution of following generalized eigenvalue equation in a matrix form [1, 4–7]

$$H'_D C = SCE \quad (20)$$

here,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S^{\beta\beta}_{pq} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{-\beta-\beta}_{pq} \end{pmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} C^\beta_{pq} \\ C^{-\beta}_{pq} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$H'_D = \begin{pmatrix} V^{\beta\beta}_{pq} & cT^{\beta-\beta}_{pq} \\ cT^{-\beta\beta}_{pq} & -2mc^2 S^{-\beta-\beta}_{pq} + V^{-\beta-\beta}_{pq} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

The one-electron integrals arise in Eq. (20) are defined as:
overlap integrals,

$$S^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\mu,\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\vec{p},t) = \int \chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa\mu}(\zeta,\vec{r}_a)\,\chi^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\zeta',\vec{r}_b)\,dV, \quad (23)$$

nuclear attraction integrals,

$${}^{abb}V^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\mu,\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\vec{p},t) = \int \chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa\mu}(\zeta,\vec{r}_a)\,\frac{1}{r_b}\chi^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\zeta',\vec{r}_b)\,dV, \quad (24)$$

$${}^{aab}V^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\mu,\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\vec{p},t) = \int \chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa\mu}(\zeta,\vec{r}_a)\,\frac{1}{r_b}\chi^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\zeta',\vec{r}_a)\,dV, \quad (25)$$

and, kinetic energy integrals,

$$T^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\mu,\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\vec{p},t) = \int \chi^\beta_{\gamma\kappa\mu}(\zeta,\vec{r}_a)\,(\hat{\sigma}.\hat{\vec{p}})\chi^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\zeta',\vec{r}_b)\,dV, \quad (26)$$

where, $\vec{p} = \frac{\vec{R}}{2}(\zeta+\zeta')$, $t = \frac{t^-}{t^+} = \frac{\zeta-\zeta'}{\zeta+\zeta'}$ and $\vec{R} = \vec{r}_a - \vec{r}_b$ is the inter-nuclear distance vector. The vectors $\vec{r}_a$, $\vec{r}_b$ are radius vectors of electrons with respect to nuclear labels a, b.

IV. EVALUATION OF ONE-ELECTRON MOLECULAR INTEGRALS

In order to derive the two-center one-electron integrals it is utilizing from expansion formula for spinor spherical harmonics with same and different centers and molecular auxiliary functions [57, 58] in lined-up coordinate systems via an ellipsoidal coordinates (ξ,ν,ϑ), respectively

$$\Pi^{\beta\beta',k}_{\kappa\mu,\kappa'\mu'}(\theta,\vartheta)=\sum_{kLM}C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\mu}C^{\beta' k}_{\kappa'\mu'}C^{\beta\beta',k;L}_{\kappa\mu,\kappa'\mu'}Y^{*}_{LM}(\theta,\vartheta), \quad (27)$$

$$\Pi^{\beta\beta',k}_{\kappa\Lambda,\kappa'\Lambda'}(\xi,\nu,\vartheta) =\frac{1}{2\pi}C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\Lambda}C^{\beta' k}_{\kappa'\Lambda'}C^{\beta\beta',k}_{\kappa\lambda,\kappa'\lambda'}(\xi,\nu)\,e^{i(\lambda-\lambda')\vartheta}, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{P_1,Q_1}\mathcal{G}^{N_1,q}_{N_2N_3N_4}(p_1,p_2,p_3) &=\frac{p_1^{N_1}}{(N_4-N_1)_{N_1}}\int_0^1\int_{-1}^1(\xi\nu)^q(\xi+\nu)^{N_2}(\xi-\nu)^{N_3}\\ &\times\begin{bmatrix}P_1\left[N_4-N_1,p_1(\xi+\nu)\right]\\ Q_1\left[N_4-N_1,p_1(\xi+\nu)\right]\end{bmatrix}e^{p_2\xi-p_3\nu}d\xi d\nu \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

here, $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol, $P[\alpha,x]$ is the normalized incomplete gamma, $Q[\alpha,x]$ is normalized complementary incomplete gamma functions, $C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\mu}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, $C^{\beta\beta',k;L}_{\kappa\mu,\kappa'\mu'}$ are the Gaunt coefficients and they defined by following form;

$$C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\mu}=(-1)^{\frac{2\delta_{k|\beta\kappa|,k\beta\kappa}+\left[|\mu-\frac{k}{2}|-\left(\mu-\frac{k}{2}\right)\right]}{2}}\times\sqrt{\frac{\beta\kappa+\frac{1}{2}-k\mu}{2\beta\kappa+1}} \quad (30)$$

$$C^{\beta\beta',k;L}_{\kappa\mu,\kappa'\mu'} =\sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{4\pi}}C^{L}\left(l_\beta\mu-\frac{k}{2};l'_{\beta}\mu'-\frac{k}{2}\right)\delta_{M,\mu-\mu'}. \quad (31)$$

The coefficients $C^{\beta\beta',k}_{\kappa\lambda,\kappa'\lambda'}(\xi,\nu)$ are the product of two normalized associated Legendre functions in ellipsoidal coordinates and they are determined as,

$$C^{\beta\beta',k}_{\kappa\lambda,\kappa'\lambda'}(\xi,\nu) =\sum_{abc}g^{c}_{ab}\left(l_{\beta\lambda},l'_{\beta'\lambda'}\right)\times\frac{(\xi\nu)^c}{(\xi+\nu)^a(\xi-\nu)^b}. \quad (32)$$

Here, $\Lambda=|\mu|$, $\Lambda'=|\mu'|$, $\lambda=|\mu-\frac{k}{2}|$, $\lambda'=|\mu'-\frac{k}{2}|$ and $-1\leq k(2)\leq 1$. Please see [64, 65] for the definitions Gaunt coefficients and g^c_{ab}.

Considering the relations given in Eqs. (27, 32) the relativistic two-center integrals over normalized STSOs are defined by following formula,
the overlap integrals,

$$S^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\Lambda,\gamma'\kappa'\Lambda}(p,t)=C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\Lambda}C^{\beta' k}_{\kappa'\Lambda'}N^{\beta i,\beta' i'}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(p,t) X^{\beta i}_{\kappa}X^{\beta' i'}_{\kappa'}S_{\gamma+i,l_\beta\lambda,\gamma'+i'l'_{\beta'}\lambda}(p,t), \quad (33)$$

the nuclear attraction integrals,

$${}^{abb}V^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\Lambda,\gamma'\kappa'\Lambda}(p,t)=\left(\frac{t^+}{p}\right)C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\Lambda}C^{\beta' k}_{\kappa'\Lambda'}N^{\beta i,\beta' i'}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(p,t) X^{\beta i}_{\kappa}X^{\beta' i'}_{\kappa'}S_{\gamma+i,l_\beta\lambda,\gamma'+i'-1l'_{\beta'}\lambda}(p,t), \quad (34)$$

$${}^{aab}V^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\mu,\gamma'\kappa'\mu'}(\vec{p},t)=\sum_{kLM}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2L+1}}C^{\beta k}_{\kappa\mu}C^{\beta' k}_{\kappa'\mu'}C^{\beta\beta',k;L}_{\kappa\mu,\kappa'\mu'} \times R^{\beta\beta';L}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(p,t)\,Y^{*}_{LM}(\theta,\vartheta), \quad (35)$$

and the kinetic energy integrals

$$T^{\beta\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\Lambda,\gamma'\kappa'\Lambda}(p,t) =-\left\{N^{\gamma'}_{\kappa'}-\beta'\left(\gamma'+\delta_{|\kappa'|\kappa'}\right)\right\}{}^{abb}V^{\beta-\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\Lambda,\gamma'\kappa'\Lambda}(p,t) -t^+(1-t)\,S^{\beta-\beta'}_{\gamma\kappa\Lambda,\gamma'\kappa'\Lambda}(p,t) \quad (36)$$

here,

$$X^{\beta i}_{\kappa}=A^{\beta}_{\kappa}\delta_{i0}+B^{\beta}_{\kappa}\delta_{i1}, \quad (37)$$

$$N^{\beta i,\beta' i'}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(p,t) =\frac{1}{2^{i+i'}}\frac{[p(1+t)]^{\gamma+i+\frac{1}{2}}[p(1-t)]^{\gamma'+i'+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{Y^{\beta}_{\gamma\kappa}Y^{\beta'}_{\gamma'\kappa'}}}, \quad (38)$$

$$Y^{\beta}_{\gamma\kappa}=\left(A^{\beta}_{\kappa}\right)^2\Gamma[2\gamma+1]+A^{\beta}_{\kappa}B^{\beta}_{\kappa}[2\gamma+2] +\left(B^{\beta}_{\kappa}\right)^2\frac{\Gamma[2\gamma+3]}{4}, \quad (39)$$

and,

$$S_{nl\lambda,n'l'\lambda}(p,t) =\sum_{abc}g^{c}_{ab}(l\lambda,l'\lambda)^{P_1,Q_1}\mathcal{G}^{0,q}_{N_2N_30}(p,p,pt), \quad (40)$$

with, $0\leq(i,i')\leq 1$. Finally, taking into consideration,

$$\frac{1}{r_{21}}=\sum_{LM}\left(\frac{4\pi}{2L+1}\right)\left(\frac{r^L_<}{r^{L+1}_>}\right)Y_{LM}(\theta_1,\vartheta_1)\,Y^{*}_{LM}(\theta_2,\vartheta_2) \quad (41)$$

the one-center potential $R^{\beta\beta';L}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}$ in Eq.(35) can be determined by,

$$R^{\beta\beta';L}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(p,t) = N^{\beta i,\beta' i'}_{\gamma\kappa,\gamma'\kappa'}(1,t)\, X^{\beta i}_{\kappa} X^{\beta' i'}_{\kappa'} \left(2t^{+}\right) \Gamma\left[\gamma + i + \gamma' + i' + L + 1\right] \frac{1}{(2p)^{L+1}}$$
$$\times \left\{ P\left[\gamma + i + \gamma' + i' + L + 1, 2p\right] + \frac{(2p)^{2L+1}}{(\gamma + i + \gamma' + i' - L)_{2L+1}} Q\left[\gamma + i + \gamma' + i' - L, 2p\right] \right\} \quad (42)$$

$|l_\beta - l'_\beta| \leq L(2) \leq |l_\beta + l'_\beta|$, $-L \leq M \leq L$.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The studies to solve the problems arise in algebraic solution of Dirac equation were commonly carried out for hydrogenic atoms and one-electron diatomic molecules. Investigation of one-electron diatomic molecules or generally speaking, two-center Dirac problem over exponential-type spinor orbitals algebraically could not be performed with genuine conviction due to the difficulties in accurate calculation of two-center integrals for this group of spinor orbitals. The problems arise in the solution of Dirac equation also have been serious block in application of variation principle. Note that, some calculations were performed with Gaussian-type spinor orbitals in variational method [66, 67] and Minimax method in two-center Dirac problem were investigated [68]. But, the use of exponential-type orbitals in two-center Dirac problem via direct variational approach has been open question. The two-center Dirac problem widely have been studied via numerical methods [69–75] or perturbative treatment of relativistic effects [76–80] as it have not been practically possible to perform the algebraic approximations.

Recently, the accuracy problem in the evaluation of molecular integrals have been solved through numerical approximation in [57, 58]. These improvements led to reconsider application of kinetically balanced exponential-type spinor orbitals, which obtain analogously from L-type spinor for the solution of Dirac equation in algebraic approximation. At first sign, the S-type spinor orbitals is known available to be used in this problem. But, the difficulty of finding simple relations for two-center relativistic integrals still remain if large- and small-component of used spinor orbitals is not directly dependent. The STSOs and given relation in Eq. (17) for their large- and small-components provide an efficient and simple way to obtain the relativistic integrals. Besides the S-type spinor orbitals are special case of STSOs for $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - Z^2/c^2}$.

In this study, the Eq.(20) with its included matrix elements are solved for the determination of linear combination coefficients and electronic energies using Mathematica programming language. Schur decomposition [81] is utilized ot obtain eigenvalues since matrix form of Dirac-Hamiltonian is not hermitian. The calculations are performed for ground and exicted of one-electron atoms and homo-, hetero-nuclear diatomic molecules with single-zeta basis sets approximation in linear combinations of STSOs given in Eqs. (18, 19) for each sign of κ. Determination of nonlinear parameters have critical importance for correct representation of atomic orbitals in relativistic calculations. The screening constants are allowed to be variationally optimum values. The Powell optimization procedure [82] is performed for defined basis sets approximation. The quantum numbers γ are chosen to take positive integer values. Notice that, the calculations can also be performed with $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - Z^2/c^2}$ or γ can be assigned as parameter to be optimized. Unfortunately, the robust numerical procedure given in [57, 58] for highly accurate calculation of molecular integrals is not efficient according to computational time. The analytical method which gives results for these integrals accurate as much as results given in [57, 58] should be examined eventually.

The calculations for one-electron atoms are performed with integer values of γ for arbitrary extended basis sets approximation, where κ can take positive and negative values, without encounter any kind of problem for ground and excited states. The investigation of one-electron atoms shows that there are basis functions with integer values of quantum numbers satisfy kinetic-balance condition and they can be applicable for calculation of electronic structure of one-electron atoms. However, critical importance of two-center problem requires a detailed examination and instead of present the results obtained for one-electron atoms it is preferred to focusing on two-center Dirac problem. It is believed that, the reader have desire to see the results for one-electron atoms can perform his/her calculations easily.

The results of calculations are presented in tables I-IV and figure 1, respectively. Variational stability are tested for one-electron molecules by large number of calculations. In these tables the results obtained for $1s\sigma_{1/2}$, $1p\pi_{3/2}$, $2d\delta_{5/2}$ electronic energy states of one-electron molecules with different values of nuclear charges and inter-nuclear distances are presented. The results obtained by solution of Dirac equation, where $c = 137.0359895$ are given in the first row of each values of nuclear charges. In the second row the given results obtained by solution of Dirac equation in nonrelativistic limit($c = 10^6$) or by the solution Schrödinger equation. Notice that, each value given in these tables is obtained with variationally optimum values of screening constants independently.

At low values of the nuclear charges during the optimization the spurious roots are encountered for a couple values of electronic energies. The space belong to these values in tables left empty. In figure these energies are plotted depending on screening constants, where the resolution is 1/10.

In table I the results of calculations obtained by employing minimal basis-sets approximation are presented. The ground and excited state electronic energies are examined in this basis sets approximation. The values given in second row of each nuclear charge are obtained via solution of Schrödinger equation. It can be seen from this table the suggested basis functions are available to perform the calculations with minimal basis sets for any energy state with arbitrary nuclear charge without hesitate about interval initially given for optimization of screening constant.

In tables II, III the results of calculations obtained by employing extended basis-sets approximation are presented, where upper limit of summations are chosen to as 1 and 2 for tables II, III, respectively. The upper limit of summation to be 1 define two basis functions in each atom with $\kappa = -1$ and $\kappa = 1$ and if it is 2 define four basis functions in each atom with $\kappa = -1, 1$ and $\kappa = -2, 2$. In table II the second row for given each nuclear charges are obtained by solution of Dirac equation in nonrelativistic limit and in table III are obtained by solution of Schrödinger equation using basis sets approximation given in [83], here upper limit of summation are chosen to as 3. The results presented in these tables show that by the use of STSOs the basis sets can be extended with arbitrary sign of κ and the optimization procedure can be applied to obtain minimum values of desired energy states without encountering variational instability. For low nuclear charges during the optimization of the screening constants a particular energy states proceed towards the gap. It can be seen from the figure plotted for these energy states depending screening constants these exceptions can be neglected as the spurious roots encountered far from minimum values.

The method is also tested for higher uppper limit of summation in table IV. Here, the given results in second row of each nuclear charges are the calculations performed with nonrelativistic limit. It is observed that for low values of nuclear charges it may require to take into account one more condition; different from nonrelativistic case in relativistic calculations the eigenvalues obtained by solution of Dirac equation is not in general an upper bounds while Kato's upper and lower bounds given as [84],

$$E_{low} \leqslant E_i \leqslant E_{up} \tag{43}$$

here E_i are eigenvalues of spectrum obtained by the solution of Dirac equation which suppose in interval (a, b);

$$a < \langle H_D \rangle < b, \tag{44}$$

$$E_{low} = \langle H_D \rangle - \frac{s^2}{b - \langle H_D \rangle} > a, \tag{45}$$

$$E_{up} = \langle H_D \rangle + \frac{s^2}{\langle H_D \rangle - a} < b, \tag{46}$$

where,

$$s = \sqrt{(\langle H_D^2 \rangle - \langle H_D \rangle^2)}. \tag{47}$$

Some results in table IV should consider depending on this condition. Note that calculation of root-mean-square deviation s is not easy in the case of two-center problem. It may possible to find practical method on this issue. For now, it is outside the scobe of this paper.

The results of calculations performed by literature specially were carried out for H_2^+, HeH^{2+}, Sn_2^{99+}, Th_2^{179+} [66–80] by setting the internuclear distances R/Z and $R = 2a.u.$ for Sn_2^{99+}, Th_2^{179+} molecules.

Note that in this study all results are given in atomic units (a.u.).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A.B. acknowledges funding for a postdoctoral research fellowship from innov@pole: the Auvergne Region and FEDER.

The tables given in this study are the first version of calculations and it is believed that they will be helpful on behalf of the reader's views. In the next version of the paper they will be revised. Presentation will be just for highest upper limit of summation considering upper and lower bounds in relativistic theory.

[1] C. C. J. Roothaan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **23**, 69 (1951).
[2] T. Kato, Commun. Pure. Appl. Math. **10**, 151 (1957).
[3] S. Agmon, Lect. Notes Math. **1159**, 1 (1985).
[4] Yong-Ki Kim, Phys. Rev. A **154**, 17 (1967).
[5] J. M. Leclercq, Phys. Rev. A **1**, 1358 (1970).
[6] K. Koc, Y. Ishikawa, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 794 (1994).
[7] H. M. Quiney, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **20**, 1413 (1987).
[8] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 032520 (2009).
[9] H. M. Quiney, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **22**, L15 (1989).
[10] W. Greiner, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
[11] Choon-Lin Ho, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2170 (2006).
[12] A. Schulze-Halberg, Chin. Phys. Lett. **23**, 1365 (2006).
[13] Y. S. Lee and A. P. McLean, J. Chem. Phys. **76**, 735 (1982).
[14] R. E. Stanton and S. Havriliak,J. Chem. Phys. **81**, 1910

(1984).
[15] W. H. E. Schwarz and H. Wallmeier, Mol. Phys. 46, 1045 (1982).
[16] W. H. E. Schwarz and E. Wechsel-Trakowski, Chem. Phys. Lett. 85, 94 (1982).
[17] H. Wallmeier and W. Kutzelnigg, Chem. Phys. Lett. **78**, 341 (1981).
[18] H. Wallmeier, Phys. Rev. A **29**, 2993 (1984).
[19] A. B. Volkov, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 4406 (1989).
[20] R. N. Hill and C. Krauthauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2151 (1994).
[21] H. Wallmeier and W. Kutzelnigg, Phys. Rev. A **28**, 3092 (1983).
[22] W. Kutzelnigg, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **25**, 107 (1984).
[23] W. Kutzelnigg, Physica Scripta **36**, 416 (1987).
[24] W. Kutzelnigg, Z. Phys. D-Atoms, Molecules and Clusters **11**, 15 (1989).
[25] J. Wood, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **18**, 3027 (1985).
[26] A. Rutkowski, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **19**, 3431 (1986).
[27] D. Hegarty, Theor. Chim. Acta. **70**, 351 (1986).
[28] D. Hegarty and P. J. C. Aerts, Physica Scripta **36**, 432 (1987).
[29] E.van Lenthe, E.J.Baerends and J.G.Snijders, Chem. Phys. Lett. **236**, 235 (1995).
[30] K. G. Dyall, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 9618 (1997).
[31] M. Filatov, Chem. Phys. Lett. **365**, 222 (2002).
[32] M. Filatov, D. Cremer, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 064104 (2005).
[33] W. Zou, M. Filatov and D. Cremer, Theor. Chem. Acc. **130**, 633 (2011).
[34] G. W. F. Drake and S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **23**, 2093 (1981).
[35] K. G. Dyall, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **17**, L45 (1984).
[36] K. G. Dyall, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **17**, 1201 (1984).
[37] P. J. C. Aerts and W. C. Nieuwpoort, Chem. Phys. Lett. **133**, 165 (1985).
[38] I. P. Grant, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. **19**, 3187 (1986).
[39] S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **36**, 3054(1987).
[40] L. Laaksonen, I. P. Grant and S. Wilson, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **21**, 1969 (1988).
[41] N. J. Lehmann, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **29**, 342 (1949).
[42] H. J. Maehly, Helv. Phys. Acta **25**, 547 (1952).
[43] J. D. Talman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1091 (1986).
[44] A. Kołakowska, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **29**, 4515 (1996).
[45] P. Falsaperla, G. Fonte and J. Z. Chen, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 1240 (1997).
[46] S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **31**, 3541 (1985).
[47] S. P. Goldman and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 408 (1986).
[48] S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 16 (1988).
[49] S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 1185 (1989).
[50] G. Pestka, Physica Scripta **68**, 254 (2003).
[51] G. Pestka, Physica Scripta **69**, 203 (2004).
[52] I. P. Grant, J. Phys. B:At. Mol. Phys. **43**, 074033 (2010).
[53] K. G. Dyall, Chemical Physics, **395**, 35 (2012).
[54] W. Kutzelnigg, Chemical Physics **395**, 16 (2012).
[55] H. M. Quiney, I. P. Grant, and S. Wilson, *in Lecture Notes in Quantum Chemistry*, edited by U. Kaldor (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989)
[56] I. P. Grant, H. M. Quiney, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 022508 (2000).
[57] A. Bağcı and P. E. Hoggan, arXiv:1405.5436 [quant-ph].
[58] A. Bağcı and P. E. Hoggan, Phys. Rev. E **89**, 053307 (2014).
[59] I. P. Grant, *Relativistic Quantum Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Springer, New York, 2007).
[60] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-relativistic theory*, (3th ed., Pergamon, Oxford, 1977).
[61] R. Szmytkowski, J. Math. Chem. **42**, 397 (2007).
[62] E. U. Condon and G. H. Shortley, *The Theory of Atomic Spectra* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970).
[63] E. O. Steinborn and K. Ruedenberg, Adv. Quant. Chem. **7**, 1 (1973).
[64] I. I. Guseinov, J Phys. B **3**, 1399 (1970).
[65] I. I. Guseinov, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) **343**, 173 (1995).
[66] F. Mark, H. Lischka and F. Rosicky, Chem. Phys. Lett. **71**, 507 (1980).
[67] H. M. Quiney, V. N. Glushkov and S. Wilson, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **99**, 950 (2004).
[68] L. LaJohn and J. D. Talman, Chem. Phys. Lett. **189**, 383 (1992).
[69] L. Laaksonen and I. P. Grant, Chem. Phys. Lett. **109**, 485 (1984).
[70] L. Yang, D. Heinemann and D. Kolb, Chem. Phys. Lett. **178** 213 (1991).
[71] H. Yu, A. D. Bandrauk and V. Sonnad, Chem. Phys. Lett. **222**, 387 (1994).
[72] S. A. Alexander and R. L. Coldwell, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 3374 (1999).
[73] O. Kullie and D. Kolb, Eur. Phys. J. D **17**, 167 (2001).
[74] A. Ishikawa, H. Nakashima and H. Nakatsuji, J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 124103 (2008)
[75] A. N. Artemyev, A. Surzhykov, P. Indelicato, G. Plunien and Th Stöhlker, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **43**, 235207 (2010).
[76] F. Mark and U. Becker, Physica Scripta **36**, 393 (1987).
[77] M. H. Howells and R. A. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **86**, 3495 (1990).
[78] R. Franke and W. Kutzelnigg, Chem. Phys. Lett. **199**, 561 (1992).
[79] F. A. Parpia and A. K. Mohanty, Chem. Phys. Lett. **238**, 209 (1995).
[80] Ts. Tsogbayar and V. I. Korobov, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 024308 (2006).
[81] S. Wolfram *The Mathematica Book* (Wolfram Media, 2003).
[82] J. M. Mathews and K. D. Fink, *Numerical Methods Using Matlab* (New York: Prentice-Hall, 2004).
[83] I. I. Guseinov, E. Şahin, R. Aydın and A. Bağcı, Physica Scripta **77**, 045302 (2008).
[84] Z. Chen, G. Fonte and S. P. Goldman, Phys. Rev. A **50**, 3838 (1994).
[85] J. A. Campos, D. L. Nascimento, M. Wolf, A. L. A. Fonseca and O. A. C. Nunes, J. Mol. Struct. **769**, 39 (2006).

TABLE I. Electronic energies for the ground and excited states of some one-electron diatomic molecules using minimal basis sets with internuclear distances $R = 2, 5, 10$ for each symmetry.

$Z_a; Z_b$	$1s\sigma_{1/2}$			$1p\pi_{3/2}$			$2d\delta_{5/2}$		
1; 1	1.086514728	0.719210770	0.600304482	0.425906666	0.312887102	0.226879709	0.212459788	0.182364551	0.142114476
	1.086505992	0.719205456	0.600297890	0.425907162	0.312887451	0.226879635	0.202958953	0.153864857	0.120799851
1; 2	2.504460112	2.200106708	2.100106514	0.890914639	0.689319577	0.598511770	0.461732618	0.380247382	0.314347999
	2.504352324	2.200000193	2.100000000	0.890911570	0.689312571	0.598505033	0.422805097	0.324979675	0.304904143
25; 25	327.6443552	320.1443552	317.6443552	90.75845109	83.28628590	80.78795188	47.07944520	39.74293357	37.25294866
	325.0000000	317.5000000	315.0000000	90.59501178	83.12308004	80.62476000	47.00480016	39.71029603	37.22076945
25; 30	468.0249072	460.5249071	458.0249071	125.3184354	117.8376980	115.3388506	62.44386351	55.05879776	52.56574774
	462.5000000	455.0000000	452.5000000	124.9791705	117.4986667	114.9998333	62.35619384	54.99180341	52.49899383
50; 50	1319.626156	1304.626156	1299.626156	340.1298551	325.1434271	320.1442392	164.3180180	149.4006642	144.4056265
	1275.000000	1260.000000	1255.000000	337.4850007	322.4990400	317.4998799	163.7939488	148.8830778	143.8881673
50; 60	1920.682356	1905.682356	1900.682356	480.5149899	465.5242724	460.5248278	226.0155137	211.0726101	206.0760341
	1825.000000	1810.000000	1805.000000	474.9895836	459.9993333	454.9999167	222.9362638	209.9959753	204.9994992
100; 100	5989.195384	5959.195384	5949.195384	1344.619654	1314.625740	1304.626104	613.9835542	584.0231455	574.0255160
	5050.000000	5020.000000	5010.000000	1299.992500	1269.999520	1259.999939	605.5099329	575.5526691	565.5551954
100; 1	5939.695384	5939.395384	5939.295384	1295.126091	1294.826152	1294.726155	564.5254317	564.2258276	564.1258513
	5000.499999	5000.200000	5000.100000	1250.499925	1250.199995	1250.099999	556.0550992	555.7555266	555.6555520

TABLE II. Electronic energies for the ground and excited states of some one-electron diatomic molecules using extended basis sets approximation where, $N_q = 1$ with internuclear distances $R = 2, 5, 10$ for each symmetry.

$Z_a; Z_b$	$1s\sigma_{1/2}$			$1p\pi_{3/2}$			$2d\delta_{5/2}$		
1; 1	1.091130119	0.720765169	0.600393466	0.426273945	0.314446554	0.227888953	0.212473037	0.182708840	0.142861983
	1.091120822	0.720760135	0.600078770	0.426274153	0.314450113	0.227888279	0.212471077	0.182707620	0.142865469
1; 2	2.506703860	2.200181554	2.100111174	0.892330988	0.690154901	0.598595445	0.461861897	0.381038054	0.314643291
	2.506554826	2.200085087	2.100020055	0.892327358	0.690103120	0.598588726	0.461811508	0.381034503	0.314664141
25; 25	327.6444253	320.1443570	317.6443553	90.75994619	83.28632497	80.78795429	47.08799551	39.74317806	37.25296417
	325.0000825	317.5000379	315.0002009	90.59668066	83.12312128	80.62476195	47.05497574	39.71097697	37.22079863
25; 30	468.0249399	460.5249080	458.0249072	125.3191570	117.8377167	115.3388518	62.44812897	55.05891605	52.56575522
	462.5000450	455.0002005	452.5000001	124.9799087	117.4986809	114.9997025	62.38072695	54.99213261	52.49900475
50; 50	1319.626169	1304.626156	1299.626156	340.1302179	325.1434365	320.1442396	164.3203270	149.4007250	144.4056303
	1275.000019	1260.000036	1255.000001	337.4854005	322.4991611	317.4998801	163.8016252	148.8831807	143.8881692
50; 60	1920.682362	1905.682357	1900.682356	480.5151602	465.5242768	460.5248280	226.0166250	211.0726391	206.0760351
	1825.000325	1810.000002	1805.000033	474.9897706	459.9992962	454.9999089	224.9388235	209.9960260	204.9994913
100; 100	5989.195386	5959.195384	5949.195384	1344.619729	1314.625742	1304.626104	613.9840961	584.0231594	574.0255152
	5050.000026	5020.000013	5010.000013	1299.992597	1269.999693	1259.999941	605.5112314	575.5528592	565.5553282
100; 1	5939.695384	5939.395396	5939.295384	1295.126091	1294.826152	1294.726155	564.5254317	564.2258276	564.1258513
	5000.500012	5000.200012	5000.100004	1250.499906	1250.199996	1250.099820	556.0551075	555.7555269	555.6555521

TABLE III. Electronic energies for the ground and excited states of some one-electron diatomic molecules using extended basis sets approximation where, $N_q = 2$ with internuclear distances $R = 2, 5, 10$ for each symmetry.

$Z_a; Z_b$	$1s\sigma_{1/2}$			$1p\pi_{3/2}$			$2d\delta_{5/2}$		
1; 1	1.101601204	0.725110669	0.600603136	0.429282890	-	-	0.227487111	0.187385304	-
	1.102189453	0.723828536	0.600559514	0.428734453	0.320682934	0.231879320	0.204241193	0.159462805	0.124600244
1; 2	2.512050427	2.200341532	2.100120217	0.900534611	-	0.599035320	0.464719295	-	-
	2.511648703	2.200225510	2.100013995	0.899513765	0.693759040	0.598930437	0.429056911	0.342212791	0.305794455
25; 25	327.6445471	320.1443592	317.6443555	90.76701622	83.28648284	80.78796374	47.18828465	39.74489281	37.25306098
	325.0002238	317.5000057	315.0000004	90.60262775	83.12327854	80.62477244	47.05909953	39.71201767	37.22087892
25; 30	468.0249870	460.5249092	458.0249073	125.3222987	117.8377902	115.3388562	62.48547808	55.05968700	52.56580039
	462.5001079	455.0000028	452.5000002	124.9828671	117.4987625	114.9998393	62.38497436	54.99263991	52.49904670
50; 50	1319.626218	1304.626161	1299.626157	340.1317069	325.1434719	320.1442424	164.3370163	149.4011045	144.4056531
	1275.000056	1260.000001	1255.000000	337.4869354	322.4990898	317.4998831	163.8105069	148.8835157	143.8881948
50; 60	1920.682417	1905.682364	1900.682358	480.5158274	465.5242956	460.5248301	226.0241758	211.0728152	206.0760468
	1825.000027	1810.000001	1805.000000	474.9905181	459.9993573	454.9999182	224.9431969	209.9961868	204.9995125
100; 100	5989.196040	5959.195489	5949.195410	1344.620314	1314.625803	1304.626118	613.9876542	584.0232703	574.0255302
	5050.000014	5020.000001	5010.000000	1299.992986	1269.999532	1259.999941	605.5141963	575.5527790	565.5552022
1; 100	5939.695384	5939.395384	5939.295384	1295.126091	1294.826152	1294.726155	564.5254320	564.2258276	564.1258513
	5000.500000	5000.199999	5000.099999	1250.499925	1250.199995	1250.099999	556.0550997	555.7555267	555.6555520

R=2: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 1.10131[66],1.1026415801[79],1.1026411255[74]
R=2: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 1.10232$(c \to \infty)$[66],1.1026342137$\left(c \to 10^3\right)$[79]
R=2: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $1s\sigma$: 1.1026342145[74]
R=5: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $1s\sigma$: 0.7244202952[85]
R=10: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $1s\sigma$: 0.6005787289[85]
R=2: $Z_a; Z_b$=1;1, $2p\pi$: 0.428770950[78]
R=5: -
R=10: -
R=2: -
R=5: -
R=10: -

$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 2.512296099[69]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 2.512193020$\left(c \to 10^7\right)$[69]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $1s\sigma$: 2.512192938[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $1s\sigma$: 2.200236963[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $1s\sigma$: 2.100014205[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $2p\pi$: 0.899646586[78], 0.899646663[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $2p\pi$: 0.694221941[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $2p\pi$: 0.598963473[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $3d\delta$: 0.463296596[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $3d\delta$: 0.386333590[83]
$Z_a; Z_b$=1;2, $3d\delta$: 0.316846057[83]

TABLE IV. Electronic energies for the ground and excited states of some one-electron diatomic molecules using extended basis sets approximation where, $N_q = 3, 4$ and internuclear distance $R = 2$.

$Z_a; Z_b$	$\lvert\kappa\rvert = 3$		$\lvert\kappa\rvert = 4$
	$1s\sigma_{1/2}$	$1p\pi_{3/2}$	$1s\sigma_{1/2}$
1; 1	1.102372222	0.453060085	1.104011504
	1.102357336	0.453045512	1.103989240
1; 2	-	0.915679457	2.512411017
	-	0.915222994	2.512119450
25; 25	327.6445472	90.76709063	327.6445472
	324.9094337	90.60246130	324.9094076
25; 30	468.0249870	125.3223169	468.0249870
	462.2217421	124.9791134	462.2217782
50; 50	-	-	-
	2755.892565	1065.686764[a]	2759.976757
90; 90	-	-	-
	8929.091938	3449.848015[a]	8942.324615

$Z_a; Z_b$=50;50, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 2807.25[66] $1s\sigma$: 2756.59[66]
$Z_a; Z_b$=90;90, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$: 9496.04[66] $1s\sigma$: 8931.35[66]
$Z_a; Z_b$=90;90, $1s\sigma_{1/2}$ $\left(c \times 10^3\right)$: 9504.756696[79]
$Z_a; Z_b$=90;90, $1s\sigma$: 8931.337137[79]
$Z_a; Z_b$=50;50, $2p\pi$: 1064.767903(Minimal basis sets)
$Z_a; Z_b$=90;90, $2p\pi$: 3449.848014(Minimal basis sets)

[a] Minimal basis sets



FIG. 1. Dependence of electronic energy states to screening constants.